Fribourg University

December, 5th, 2017

Quantifying paleostress : toward a better quantification of magnitudes of past stresses and fluid (over)pressures in sedimentary basins; insights from calcite twinning and stylolite roughness paleopiezometry

Olivier LACOMBE

Professor at Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France



Toward a better quantification of magnitudes of past stresses and fluid (over)pressures in sedimentary basins: insights from calcite twinning and stylolite roughness paleopiezometry

Olivier LACOMBE







Why to characterize stresses in the crust ?

The motivation arises :

from <u>applied</u> <u>geological purposes</u>, such as geological hazards, engineering activities and resource exploration;

and

from <u>fundamental geological purposes</u>, such as understanding the mechanical behaviour of geological materials and deciphering various tectonic mechanisms, from those related to plate motions at a large scale to those causing jointing and faulting or even microstructures at a smaller scale. Despite an increasing number of in situ stress measurements, magnitudes of crustal stresses remain poorly constrained...

Twinning of minerals depends on the magnitude of the applied shear stress.

One can make use of this property to evaluate the magnitude of the stress which has been supported by a rock during its history.

An access to paleostress magnitudes in the upper crust : Calcite twinning paleopiezometry

In the upper crust, brittle deformation of carbonate rocks is accompanied by pressure-solution, porosity reduction and crystalline deformation.

At low T (0-300°) calcite plasticity corresponds to the prevailance of e-twinning How to constrain both orientations and magnitudes of past stresses (1):

calcite twinning paleopiezometry

Twinning ~ simple shearing in a particular sense and direction along e-planes {01-12}







Measurement technique : U-stage /EBSD







Data : C-axis and twinned/untwinned planes in grains Material : Host rock matrix / veins Field samples or cores



Stress analysis of calcite twinning : The 'historical' techniques

<u>Jamison and Spang (1976)</u>: determination of differential stress magnitudes



$$\tau_s = \Delta \sigma \cdot S$$

if aua is known, $\Delta\sigma$

In a sample with no preferred crystallographic orientation, the percentages of grains twinned on 0, 1, 2 ou 3 twin planes are functions of the applied differential stress (σ 1- σ 3) value. Experimentally calibrated

Limitations :

- uniaxial stress
- critical resolved shear stress for twinning = constant τa = 10 MPa
- takes into account neither grain size nor mutual compatibility of twin systems

<u>Rowe and Rutter (1990)</u>: determination of differential stress magnitudes







Influence of grain size distribution on estimates of differential stress magnitudes (Newman, 1994)

Région étudiée	Référence	Technique	Contraintes différentielles moyennes	Température de déformation
Nord de la	Ferrill (1998)	Jamison et Spang (1976)	44 MPa	75 - 250 °C
chaine subalpine		densité de macle de Rowe et Rutter (1990)	235 MPa	
Sud des	Holl &	Jamison et Spang (1976)	65 MPa	190 - 235 °C
Pyrénées	Anastasio (1995)	densité de macle de Rowe et Rutter (1990)	249 MPa	

Rowe and Rutter technique : well calibrated for T> 400°C, BUT cannot be used at low T



Influence of temperature on estimates of differential stress magnitudes (Ferrill, 1998)

To sum up :

None of these techniques allows to relate differential stresses to principal stress orientations and stress regimes.

→ significance of 'bulk' maximum differential stresses in case of polyphase tectonics ?

Moreover,

techniques are commonly used separately without care of their specific limitations The Calcite Stress Inversion Technique CSIT 1/2 (Etchecopar, 1984; Parlangeau et al., 2018)

Determination of the reduced stress tensor



Inversion of calcite twin data \implies Reduced stress tensor (4 parameters) Orientation of principal stresses and stress ratio $\Phi = \frac{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}$

+ dimensionless differential stress $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/\tau a$

The inversion process is very similar to that used for fault-slip data : twin gliding along the twinning direction within the twin plane is geometrically is comparable to slip along a slickenside lineation within a fault plane.

But the inversion process takes into account both twinned planes (resolved shear stress > CRSS)

<u>AND</u>

untwinned planes (resolved shear stress < CRSS), <u>a major difference with inversion of</u> <u>fault-slip data</u> Critical Resolved Shear Stress (CRSS) τa = resolved shear stress along the twinning direction that must be reached to induce a significant plastic (permanent) deformation, i.e., to induce motion of a number of dislocations so that sliding becomes macroscopically observable.

Commonly associated with a critical point on the stress-strain curve for a monocrystal.



(Lacombe, 2001, 2010)

The CRSS is ~ independent on $T^{\circ}C$ but depends on grain size and internal strain (hardening)

Inversion of calcite twin data (4 parameters) Reduced stress tensor

Orientation of principal stresses and stress ratio $(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)$

$$\Phi = \frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}$$

+ dimensionless differential stress

 $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/\tau a$



'constant' CRSS τa for a set of calcite grains of homogeneous size

Deviatoric stress tensor (5 parameters)

$$T_D = T - \left(\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3}\right) \cdot I$$

Orientation of principal stresses and differential stress magnitudes $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) \quad (\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)$

Some applications of calcite twin analysis for reconstructing regional tectonic evolution





Provence, Eocene compression (Lacombe et al., 1991)





Burgundy, Oligocene extension (*Lacombe et al.*, 1990)

Consistency between calcite twin data and fault-slip data in term of regional paleostress record



Zagros : Neogene/ongoing collision between Arabia and Central Iran



Collisional stresses consistently recorded at all scales



Differential stress magnitudes in fold-and-thrust belts and orogenic forelands



The relative homogeneity of differential stresses agrees with the homogeneously distributed shortening across the SFB, where no deformation gradient toward the backstop is observed in contrast to classical fold-thrust wedges

Both pre- and post-folding differential stresses are low --> folding likely occurred at low stresses; this favours pure-shear deformation and buckling of sedimentary rocks rather than brittle tectonic wedging.

(Hnat et al., 2013; Van der Pluijm et al., 1997)

(Lacombe et al., 2007)

(Xypolias & Koukouvelas, 2005)



(Beaudoin and Lacombe, submitted)

... and also in the north Pyrenean foreland (Lacombe et al., 1996; Rocher et al., 2000)...

Paleo-differential stress vs paleodepth

On the difficulty of establishing a paleostress/ paleodepth relationship

In drill holes, contemporary stresses are determined directly at a given depth / in a narrow depth interval.

In contrast, paleopiezometers are generally sampled and analysed after they have reached the surface, i.e., after exhumation from an unknown depth z, and <u>establishing a $\Delta\sigma$ vs z relationship for</u> <u>paleostresses requires independent determination of $\Delta\sigma$ and z.</u>

In FTBs, paleo-z estimates are usually derived from stratigraphic/ sedimentological studies or from thermometry coupled with assumption on paleothermal gradient

In addition, in case of polyphase tectonism, deciphering the $\Delta \sigma$ vs z evolution requires to unambiguously relate $\Delta \sigma$ to both z and to a specific tectonic event.







For a favourably oriented pre-existing cohesionless fault plane, the condition of reactivation can be written as follows :

$$(\sigma_1 - P_f) / (\sigma_3 - P_f) = [(\mu^2 + 1)^{0.5} + \mu]^2$$

$$\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = 2\rho g z (\lambda - 1) (1 - [(\mu^2 + 1)^{0.5} + \mu]^2) / (1 + [(\mu^2 + 1)^{0.5} + \mu]^2)$$

Strike-slip stress regime

and

Reverse stress regime

$$\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 = \rho g z (\lambda - 1) (1 - [(\mu^2 + 1)^{0.5} + \mu]^2)$$

with
$$\lambda = P_f / \rho g z$$



Most paleostress data support a first-order frictional behaviour of the upper continental crust.



(Beaudoin and Lacombe, submitted)

At the present-day state of our knowledge and with the available dataset, most paleostress data support a firstorder long-term frictional behaviour of the upper continental crust.

The crustal strength down to the brittle-ductile transition is generally controlled by frictional sliding on well-oriented pre-existing faults with frictional coefficients of 0.6-0.9 under hydrostatic fluid pressure (frictional stress equilibrium).

Some ductile mechanisms may, however, relieve stress and keep stress level beyond the frictional yield, as for instance in the detached cover of forelands.



Calcite twins provide estimates of prefolding paleoburial consistent with independent estimates from microthermometry of fluid inclusions, maturity of organic matter and results of 1D thermal modeling.





How to constrain both orientations and magnitudes of past stresses (2):

Stylolite roughness paleopiezometry





<u>Thermodynamics and kinetics of the growth of</u> <u>a stylolite :</u>

Once dissolution starts, there is a competition between:

- two stabilizing (smoothening) forces, long-range elastic forces and local surface tension, that tend to reduce the Helmholtz free energy of the solid \rightarrow they flatten the surface by preferentially dissolving areas of local roughness;

- a destabilizing (roughening) force due to pinning particles on the stylolitic surface, that resists dissolution in specific locations, locally increasing the free energy and producing peaks and teeth.





scaling of the roughness

Fourier Power Spectrum

 $P(k) = k^{D-2h}$

if the signal is self-affine





 \rightarrow two growth regimes (elastic / surface energy dominated regimes), each of those being characterized by a roughness exponent (Hurst exponent) and separated by a crossover length (Lc) that describes the scale at which the switch between regimes of control occurs.

(Schmittbuhl et al., 2004)

$$L_{c} = \frac{\gamma E}{\beta \sigma_{m} \sigma_{d}}$$

 γ : surface energy at the solid-fluid interface, E : Young modulus, $\beta = v(12v)/\pi$: dimensionless number with v: Poisson ratio, σm : mean stress, σd : differential stress.

Considering an isotropic stress in the stylolite plane (sedimentary/bedding-parallel stylolites - BPS):

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sigma_{v} > \sigma_{H} = \sigma_{h} \\ \sigma_{H} = \sigma_{h} = \left(\frac{\nu}{1-\nu}\right)\sigma_{v} \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} L_{c} = \frac{\gamma E}{\beta \alpha \sigma_{v}^{2}} \\ \alpha = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\right)\left(\frac{1-2\nu}{1-\nu}\right) \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_{v} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma E}{L_{c}\beta\alpha}} \\ \sigma_{H} = \sigma_{h} = \left(\frac{\nu}{1-\nu}\right)\sigma_{v} \end{vmatrix}$$

This allows to predict the magnitudes of the normal-to-the-plane stress and of the two in-plane stresses <u>In contrast</u>, a tectonic stylolite records a stress anisotropy within the stylolite plane ($\sigma 2$ different from $\sigma 3$) : depending on the orientation of the stylolite the crossover length Lc reflects the differential stress $\sigma 1$ - $\sigma 2$, $\sigma 1$ - $\sigma 3$ or a value in between.

If Lc is determined from a 2-D signal, then it depends on the orientation of the cut through the stylolite with respect to σ^2 and σ^3 (σ^1 horizontal and normal to stylolite).



The relationship between Lc and the angle θ is a periodic function, with minimum and maximum Lc separated by 90° \rightarrow roughness inversion on 2-D scans of three surfaces normal to the stylolite yields 3 Lc and the 3 corresponding angles θ between the cuts and the vertical direction.

The minimum and the maximum Lc correspond to $(\sigma 1-\sigma 3)$ and $(\sigma 1-\sigma 2)$. If θ associated with Lcmin is close to the vertical plane, then $\sigma 2$ is vertical (SS regime); otherwise, if θ associated with Lcmax is close to 0°, then $\sigma 3$ is vertical (R regime).

To summarize, Stylolite Roughness Inversion (SRI) works for :

- Stress direction
- Depth of sedimentary stylolites (from shallow to 4000m)
- Stress associated with tectonic stylolites (needs 3D and assumption of depth)



Sedimentary stylolites	Tectonic stylolites
$\sigma_z^2 = \frac{\gamma E}{\alpha \beta L_c}$	$\sigma_y = f(\frac{L_v}{L_h}; \sigma_z) \pm \sqrt{\Delta(\frac{L_V}{L_h}, \sigma_z, \frac{a}{L_h})}$
$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \sigma_z$	$\sigma_x = \sigma_y - \frac{L_V}{L_h} \sigma_y - \frac{L_V}{L_h} \sigma_z$



Time (Ma)

A powerful toolbox : combining calcite twinning and stylolite roughness paleopiezometry







Sheep Mountain A.

Rattlesnake Mountain A.



BigHorn Mountain A.

Early-folding and late-folding Laramide paleo-differential stress magnitudes from calcite twinning and stylolite roughness paleopiezometry at SMA and RMA (normalization of RMA to same depth than SMA)



20

70

50 40

20



Rattlesnake Mountain A.



Predicted max paleodepth consistent with geological data (independent on T°C)

> Stylolite roughness paleopiezometry

Consistent principal stress magnitudes among folds







12 45'0.00"E 12 48'0.00"E 12 51'0.00"E Combining stylolite roughness and calcite twinning paleopiezometry reveals the complexity of progressive stress patterns during folding (Monte Nero anticline, Apennines, Italy A) A 1000 2000 1500 w 1000 Gualdo Tadino 500 contributes big [Mousse up to Torionian] Seaglia Corese (Prish #Chatilan) icaglia Cinera (Priab. - Chatt racha Rossa (Tero - Priah.) Beaudoin Scaple Rose (New-Prick) 0 Scala Rance (Constra) Facoid (Aptian - Cenam.) - 500 olica (Titho, = Aptian et al., 2016 Mainlice (19tho. - Aption) in bris-Maschas (Thhonian) 1000 Resso Ammonitico (Taare, - Botho, Coreciols (Phiebachiae) Manierio (Netters - Since 1500 These is Permailance Changes illeping tal erse fach -I.S LPS: Pre-folding stage LPS: Early-folding stage Calcite twins set III Stylolites sets I-S and II-S Stylolites set III-S Calcite twins sets I and II 20° between (strike slip c./compressional) (strike slip c./compressional) (compressional) sets 11 and 11 (strike slip c./compressional) odmax=[33-56] MPa 0dmax-[37-77] MPa odmax - [33-46] MPa σdmax=[26-106] MPa pertubed by σι the inherited fault ¥ 1 2.0 km 2.0 km Miocene deposits (estimates 2300 m) lia group 500 m Maiolica + Fucoidi 500 r σv = 54 0v = 65 σv = 65 **σ**v = 69 depth - 2.3 km depth = 2.7 km depth = 2.9 km depth = 2.7 km Stylolites set V-8 Syn-folding Late Stage Fold Tightnening (strike-slip c.) σdmax = [70-97] MPa Calcite twins (strike-slip c./comp.) σdmax = [40-64] MPa EROSION σι 2.0 km 2.0 km 3.5 km 3.5 km 3.8 km $\sigma_V = 85$ depth = 3.6 km Ov - 101 σv = 95 depth = 4.3 km

depth = 4.0 km

Quantification of principal stress magnitudes and fluid (over)pressures at Sheep Mountain and Rattlesnake Mountain anticlines

Quantifying principal stress magnitudes

Finding for each deformation step, using a simple Mohr construction, the values of $\sigma 1$, $\sigma 2$ and $\sigma 3$ required for consistency between differential stresses estimated from calcite twinning, frictional sliding along preexisting planes (i.e., Byerlee's law) and newly formed faulting/fracturing.





Experimental determination of the intrinsic failure envelopes of the Phosphoria and Madison formations





Determination of principal stress magnitudes and $\Delta\sigma_v$ (Amrouch et al, 2011)

Quantifying paleo fluid (over)pressure



Assumption of a vertical principal stress equal to the effective weight of overburden

Theoretical effective vertical principal stress calculated considering lithostatic pressure corrected from hydrostatic fluid pressure:

 $\sigma_{\rm vref} = (\rho - \rho_{\rm w}).g.h$

Comparison between σ_{vref} and the reconstructed effective vertical principal stress σ_{veff} :

 $\Delta \sigma_v = \sigma_{vref} - \sigma_{veff}$

A non-zero $\Delta \sigma_v$ reflects either fluid over- or under-pressure or burial changes (sedimentation or erosion): when $\Delta \sigma_v$ is positive, either the burial depth was less than the value considered for the calculation of σ_{vref} , or the system was overpressured.



Comparison of $\Delta \sigma_v$ evolution

1. Decrease in fluid overpressure from early Sevier LPS to foreland flexure due to enhanced permeability by flexurerelated extensional fractures.

2. Increase during late Sevier-LPS by input of exotic fluids as supported by geochemistry of vein cements.

3.Increase during Laramide LPS due to porosity reduction by pressuresolution/poor hydraulic permeability of fracture sets due to low vertical persistence or to their fast healing/ strong increase in horizontal stress magnitude / input of exotic fluids into the reservoir in response to a large-scale fluid migration.

4. Drop due to development of curvaturerelated fractures that enhanced the hydraulic permeability of the reservoir.
Break of fluid compartmentalization within the Madison-Phosphoria core consistent with geochemistry of syn-folding vein cements that suggests a vertical migration of deeper radiogenic hot fluids within the sedimentary cover.



Basement-derived hydrothermal fluid pulse at SMA

Vertical migration of deeper radiogenic hot fluids within the sedimentary cover explained by the development of curvature-related fractures that enhance the hydraulic permeability of the reservoir and break fluid compartmentalization by stratigraphy.

Link with structural style

(Beaudoin et al, 2011; Evans and Fischer, 2012)





Comparison with values of fluid overpressures in sedimentary basins derived from paleo-pressure reconstructions based on gas composition in hydrocarbon fluid inclusions or from direct measurements in limestone or shale/sandstone reservoirs.



Take home

message



Combining paleopiezometers (e.g., calcite twins / stylolites) : a powerful toolbox that helps constrain ...

stress orientations, regional tectonic history
 values of tectonic (paleo)stress magnitudes
 pore fluid (over) pressure through time in reservoir analogues
 transmission of orogenic stresses to the foreland
 upper crust rheology

- put mechanics into basin/thrust belt kinematic modelling

among others...







Many thanks for inviting me

Suggested readings :

Amrouch K., Beaudoin N., Lacombe O., Bellahsen N. & Daniel J.M., 2011, Paleostress magnitudes in folded sedimentary rocks. <u>Geophys. Res. Lett.</u>, 38, L17301

Beaudoin, N., Koehn. D., Lacombe O., Lecouty A, Billi A., Aharonov., E. & Parlangeau C., 2016. Fingerprinting stress: stylolite and calcite twinning paleopiezometry revealing the complexity of stress distribution during folding – the case of the Monte Nero anticline in the Apennines, Italy. <u>Tectonics</u>, 35, 1687-1712

Beaudoin N., Bellahsen N., Lacombe O., Emmanuel L. & Pironon J., 2014. Crustal-scale fluid flow during the tectonic evolution of the Bighorn Basin (Wyoming, USA). <u>Basin Research</u>, 26, 403–435

Beaudoin N., Lacombe O., Bellahsen N., Amrouch K. & Daniel J.M., 2014. Evolution of fluid pressure during folding and basin contraction in overpressured reservoirs: insights from the Madison-Phosphoria carbonate formations in the Bighorn basin (Wyoming, USA). <u>Marine and Petroleum Geology</u>, 55, 214-229,

Lacombe O., 2001. Paleostress magnitudes associated with development of mountain belts : insights from tectonic analyses of calcite twins in the Taiwan Foothills. <u>Tectonics</u>, 20, 6, 834-849

Lacombe O., 2007, Comparison of paleostress magnitudes from calcite twins with contemporary stress magnitudes and frictional sliding criteria in the continental crust : Mechanical implications. J. Struct. Geol., 29, 86-99

Lacombe O., 2010, Calcite twins, a tool for tectonic studies in thrust belts and stable orogenic forelands. <u>Oil and Gas Science and Technology</u>, 65, 6, 809-838

Calcite twins as low T thermometer

		X		
	type I	type II	type III	type IV
Geometry	-thin	-thick (>>1µm)	-curved twins	-thick, patchy
Description	-straight -rational	-straight -slightly lenseshaped -rational	-twins in twins -irrational -completely twinned	-sutured boundaries -trails of tiny grains -irrational
Interpretations	-little deformation -little cover -low temperature	-considerable def. -completely twinned grains are possible	-large deformation. -intracrystalline def. mechanisms e.g. (r-& f-glide)	-large deformation -recrystallization (grain boundary migration)
	-(post-metamorphic) -(late tectonic)	-syn- or post- metamorphic	-syn-metamorphic deformation.	-pre- or syn- metamorphic
Temperature	< 200°C	150-300°C	> 200°C	>250°C

Increasing temperature



(Burkhard, 1993; Ferrill, 1998; Ferrill et al., 2004)





e-twinning and r, f-gliding systems in calcite



Data acquisition using EBSD







Twin lamella



Host crystal







Influence of grain size

(Rowe and Rutter, 1990)



Slope = twin density, does not depend on grain size

Estimates of syn-folding erosion



The post-folding Δ_{sv} value can be used to calculate the eroded/ burial thickness E as well as the postfolding overburden thickness H $E = \Delta_{sv} / [(\rho_{rock} - \rho_{water})g]$ $H = [\sigma_{vth} - \Delta_{sv}] / [(\rho_{rock} - \rho_{water})g]$

The high Δ_{sv} value recorded during the LSFT suggests exhumation of the strata, consistent with the development of topography during folding.

Drastic drop in fluid pressure during folding : -either a hydrostatic fluid pressure prevailed in the reservoir \rightarrow exhumation :1.3/ 2km at SMA/RMA -or a supra-hydrostatic fluid pressure still persisted after folding (overpressure not totally released) \rightarrow syn-folding value of Δ_{sv} reflects the remaining fluid overpressure \rightarrow exhumation : 0.6/0.8 km at SMA/RMA

Assuming a syn-folding erosion of 0.6-2.0 km and a duration of folding of 5-20 Ma → exhumation rate by folding of 0.03-0.40 mm/yr, consistent with exhumation/rock uplift rates in other Laramide arches derived from LT thermochronology and paleoelevation/basin analyses.

Koehn et al., 2016



Stylolite types suitable for paleostress estimates : must display small-scale and largescale amplitudes

-Suture and sharp peak (III) -Seismogram (II) if one considers the morphology in between the large teeth that reflect pinning rather than dissolution - Simple wave (IV) provided they display two wavelengths

Stylolites are very common rough dissolution surfaces



They can be used to:

- 1. Estimate the direction of the main compressive stress
- 2. Estimate burial depth
- 3. Estimate tectonic stresses

Vitesse de dissolution à l'interface (Rolland et al., 2012) :

$$v_d = Ts - \frac{l}{L_c}I_e + \eta$$

Ts: Tension de surface prenant en compte les effets induits par la courbure de la surface.

 I_e : Interactions élastiques décrivant la déformation de la surface.

 η : désordre présent dans la roche (hétérogénéités) et dont les variables sont indépendantes du temps.



(Parlangeau, 2017)

Evolution of fluid system in SMA and RMA



Stress perturbations in the sedimentary cover at the tip of the underlying basement fault starting to move during Laramide stress build-up

